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*30 of 31 entities submitted data
**UG stands for "Ungraded" and combines the ranks of Secretary-General, Under-Secretary-General, Assistant Secretary-General, Director-General, Deputy Director-General and Assistant Director-General

As of 31 December 2010, women in the UN system constituted:

- $\mathbf{4 0 . 3} \%(12,005$ out of 29,763$)$ of all staff in the professional and higher categories with appointments of one year or more.
- $\mathbf{2 2 . 7} \%$ ( 784 out of 2,674 ) of all staff at the $\mathbf{D - 1}$ level and above.
- $\mathbf{2 9 . 3 \%}(11,221$ out of 27,089$)$ of all staff at the $\mathbf{P}$ level;

Gender balance has only been achieved at the P-2 (57.3\%) level.
Largest increase: UG $\mathbf{( 1 5 . 2 \%}$ from $\mathbf{1 5 . 6 \%}$ in Dec 2001 to $30.9 \%$ in Dec 2010)
Smallest increase: P-2 (4.0\% from 53.3\% in Dec 2001 to $57.3 \%$ in Dec 2010)

As of 31 December 2010, women in ICJ constituted

- 56.4\% (31 out of 55) of all staff in the professional and higher categories with appointments of one year or more.
- $\mathbf{3 3 . 3 \%}$ ( 1 out of 3 ) of all staff at the D-1 level and above.
- $\mathbf{5 7 . 7 \%}$ ( 30 out of 52 ) of all staff at the $\mathbf{P}$ level.

Gender balance has only been achieved or exceeded at D2(100.0\%)level, P3(72.2\%)level, P2(61.1\%)level.
Largest increase: D2 ( $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ from 0\% in Dec. 2001 to $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ in Dec. 2010) Smallest increase: D1 (0\% from $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ in Dec 2001 to $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ in Dec 2010)

Trends in the representation of women in the Professional and higher categories -2001 to 2010
During the period 2001-2010 in the UN system, the proportion of women appointed increased by $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ percentage points, from $\mathbf{3 3 . 5 \%}(6,407$ out of 19,098 ) in 2001 to $\mathbf{4 0 . 3} \%$ ( 12,005 out of 29,763 ) in 2010.

| Level | $\%$ of women as of 31 Dec 2001 | \% of women as of 31 Dec 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total change } \\ \text { 2001-2010 } \\ \text { (percentage } \\ \text { points) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Average annual } \\ \text { change } \\ 2001-2010 \\ \text { (percentage points) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Level | \% of women as of 31 Dec 2001 | \% of women as of 31 Dec 2010 | Total change 2001-2010 <br> (percentage points) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average annual } \\ \text { change } \\ 2001-2010 \\ \text { (percentage points) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UG | 15.6 | 30.9 | 15.2 | 1.5 | UG | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| D-2 | 20.8 | 25.7 | 4.8 | 0.5 | D-2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 |
| D-1 | 21.3 | 30.2 | 8.9 | 0.9 | D-1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| P-5 | 24.1 | 31.7 | 7.6 | 0.8 | P-5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 2.0 |
| P-4 | 31.3 | 38.4 | 7.1 | 0.7 | P-4 | 14.3 | 45.5 | 31.2 | 3.1 |
| P-3 | 40.3 | 45.1 | 4.8 | 0.5 | P-3 | 33.3 | 72.2 | 38.9 | 3.9 |
| P-2 | 53.3 | 57.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | P-2 | 70.0 | 61.1 | -8.9 | -0.9 |
| P-1 | - | - | - | - | P-1 | - | - | - | - |

